UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/718,138	11/20/2003	Ye-Yi Wang	M61.12-0583	9085
27366 7590 11/24/2008 WESTMAN CHAMPLIN (MICROSOFT CORPORATION) SUITE 1400			EXAMINER	
			SAINT CYR, LEONARD	
900 SECOND AVENUE SOUTH MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55402-3244			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2626	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			11/24/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/718,138	WANG ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	LEONARD SAINT CYR	2626
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING IDENTIFY OF THE MONTHS FROM THE MAILING IDENTIFY OF THE MONTHS FROM THE MAILING IDENTIFY OF THE MONTH OF THE M	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tild will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. mely filed  the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 16.  2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) Th  3) Since this application is in condition for allowed closed in accordance with the practice under	is action is non-final. ance except for formal matters, pr	
Disposition of Claims		
4)  Claim(s) 1-3, 8 - 21 is/are pending in the app 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdres 5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6)  Claim(s) 1-3, 8 - 21 is/are rejected. 7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/ Application Papers 9)  The specification is objected to by the Examin	awn from consideration.  /or election requirement.	
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 20 November 2003 is Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	e drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ojected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureat * See the attached detailed Office action for a list</li> </ul>	nts have been received. nts have been received in Applicat fority documents have been receiv au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date 09/02/08.	4)  Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5)  Notice of Informal I 6)  Other:	ate

Art Unit: 2626

### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

1. 35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

Claims 10 - 21 are rejected under 35 USC 101 as not falling within one of the four statutory categories of invention. While the claims recite a series of steps to be performed, a statutory process under 35 USC 101 must be tied to another statutory category (such as a manufacture or a machine) or transform underlying subject matter (such as an article or material) to a different state or thing. Claims 10 - 21 appear to recite mental steps and do not identify the apparatus that accomplishes the method steps like "mapping the words hypothesis to pre-terminals using the dynamic programming decoder" as described in page 46, lines 23 - 29 of the specification. Thus, claims 10 - 21 do not define a statutory process.

## Response to Arguments

2. Applicant's arguments filed 07/16/08 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Page 3

Applicant argues that Huang et al., do not teach any type of automatically rules that allow the generation of a rules-based grammar parse tree for mapping to a natural input (Amendment, pages 6 - 8).

The examiner disagrees, Huang et al., teach that "a context free grammar provides a rule-based model that can capture semantic or syntactic concepts (e.g. an action, a subject, an object, etc.) of sentence structure or spoken language. Each of the slots can form semantic or syntactic concepts in which a context-free grammar is written or otherwise provided" (page 15, lines 17 – 22; page 22, lines 13 - 19). Using rule-based model to capture semantic or syntactic concepts of sentence structure or spoken language implies allowing the generation of a rules-based grammar parse tree for mapping to a natural input.

Applicant argues that Huang et al., (Spoken Language Processing, A Guide to Theory, Algorithm, and System Development; 2001) do not teach assigning a uniform backoff probability to each word hypothesis (Amendment, page 8).

The examiner disagrees, Huang et al., disclose "obtaining probabilities for unseen bigrams through Katz's backoff mechanism. That is, for unseen bigram

$$P(w_{j}|w_{i}),$$

$$P(w_{i}|w_{i}) = \alpha(w_{i})P(w_{i})$$

where  $\alpha(w_i)$  is the backoff weight for word  $w_i$  (page 618, section 12.3 3.1).

This formula shows that a probability is determined for each word, which is similar to the

Art Unit: 2626

claim invention. Applicant does not claim assigning a uniform probability to all unseen words as he/she argued on page 7.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

4. Claims 15 – 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Huang et al., (WO 01/93249).

Regarding claim 15, Huang et al. discloses a composite language model for use in a speech recognition system, comprising: an automatically learned rules-based model portion having automatically learned grammar rules, automatically generated from a schema to define a grammar that is accessed to words in an input speech signal to portions of a rules-based grammar parse tree that has slots derived from a schema ("a context free grammar provides a rule-based model that can capture semantic or syntactic concepts (e.g. an action, a subject, an object, etc.) of sentence structure or spoken language. Each of the slots can form semantic or syntactic concepts in which a context-free grammar is written or otherwise provided"); and a statistical model portion accessed to map portions of the input speech signal to pre-terminals in the rules-based grammar parse tree derived from the schema ("statistical N-gram language model") page 15, lines 17 – 22; page 22, lines 13 – 19; page 14, lines 4 - 7).

Art Unit: 2626

Regarding claim 16, Huang et al. further disclose that the statistical model portion comprises: a plurality of statistical n-gram models, one statistical n-gram model corresponding to each pre-terminal terminal (see page 16, line 25 - page 17, line 5).

Regarding claim 17, Huang et al. further disclose that the rules-based model portion comprises: a context free grammar (CFG) (see page 3, 28 - page 4, line 5).

Regarding claim 18, Huang et al. further disclose that the composite language model supports a vocabulary of words (see page 17, lines 5-14),and

wherein the statistical n-gram models are trained based on training data, (see page 15 lines 5-8),and

wherein words in the vocabulary that are not used to train a specific statistical n-gram model comprise unseen words for the specific statistical n-gram model (see page 15, lines 5-15).

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

5 Claims 1 – 3, 8 – 14, and 19 -21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Huang et al., (WO 01/93249), in view Huang et al., (Spoken Language Processing, A Guide to Theory, Algorithm, and System Development; 2001).

Regarding claims 1, 10 -12, Huang et al. discloses a speech processing system, comprising:

an acoustic model (see page 10, line 19 and fig. 3, element 112); a composite language model that supports a vocabulary of words and including a rulesbased model portion that has a plurality of automatically generated grammar rules, generated from an input schema to define a rules-based grammar parse tree that maps words in a natural language speech input into portions of the rules-based grammar parse tree ("a context free grammar provides a rule-based model that can capture semantic or syntactic concepts (e.g. an action, a subject, an object, etc.) of sentence structure or spoken language. Each of the slots can form semantic or syntactic concepts in which a context-free grammar is written or otherwise provided"; see fig. 4, element 144; page 15, lines 17 – 22; page 22, lines 13 - 19) and a statistical model portion having a plurality of statistical n-gram models, one statistical n-gram model corresponding to each pre-terminal (see page 16, line 25 - page 17, line 5); and wherein words in the vocabulary that are not used to train a specific statistical n-gram model comprise unseen words for the specific statistical n-gram model (see page 17, lines 28 page 18 lines 5); and

a decoder coupled to the acoustic model and the composite language model and configured to map portions of a natural language speech input to pre- terminals and slots, derived from a schema, based on the acoustic model and the composite language model (see page 23, lines 5-14).

Huang et al., (249) do not specifically teach that the statistical model portion of the composite language model further comprises: a backoff model portion which, when accessed, is configured to assign a backoff score to a word in the vocabulary, wherein Art Unit: 2626

each statistical n-gram model includes a reference to the backoff model portion for all unseen words.

Huang et al., (2001) teach obtaining probabilities for unseen bigrams through Katz's backoff mechanism. That is, for unseen bigram

$$P(w_j | w_i)$$
, 
$$P(w_j | w_i) = \alpha(w_i)P(w_j)$$
 where  $\alpha(w_i)$  is the backoff weight for word  $w_i$ . (page 618, section 12.3 3.1).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to backoff model as taught by Huang (2001) in Huang (249), because that would better obtain the n-gram model, by only connecting observed bigrams by direct word transitions with correspondent bigram probabilities (page 619, lines 1-5).

Regarding claim 2, Huang et al. (249) further disclose that the decoder is configured to map portions of the natural language speech input to the slots based on the rules-based model portion of the composite language model (see page 23, lines 5-14).

Regarding claim 3, Huang et al. (249) further disclose that the decoder is configured to map portions of the natural language speech input to the pre-terminals based on the statistical model portion of the composite language model (see page 23, lines 5-14).

Art Unit: 2626

Regarding claim 13, Huang et al. (2001) further disclose that a separate backoff model comprises: referring to a uniform distribution n-gram (page 618, section 12.3 3.1).

Regarding claims 8, and 14, Huang et al. (2001) further disclose that the backoff model n-gram assigns a uniform score to every word in the vocabulary (page 618, section 12.3 3.1).

Regarding claim 9, Huang et al. (249) further disclose a context free grammar (CFG) (see page 3, 28 - page 4, line 5).

As per claims 19, and 20, Huang et al., (249) do not specifically teach that the statistical model portion of the composite language model further comprises: a backoff model portion which, when accessed, is configured to assign a backoff score to a word in the vocabulary, wherein each statistical n-gram model includes a reference to the backoff model portion for all unseen words.

Huang et al., (2001) teach obtaining probabilities for unseen bigrams through Katz's backoff mechanism. That is, for unseen bigram

$$P(w_j | w_i),$$

$$P(w_j | w_i) = \alpha(w_i)P(w_j)$$

where  $\alpha(w_r)$  is the backoff weight for word  $w_r$  (page 618, section 12.3 3.1).

Art Unit: 2626

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to backoff model as taught by Huang (2001) in Huang (249), because that would better obtain the n-gram model, by only connecting observed bigrams by direct word transitions with correspondent bigram probabilities (page 619, lines 1-5).

Regarding claim 21, Huang et al. (2001) further disclose that the backoff model n-gram assigns a uniform score to every word in the vocabulary (page 618, section 12.3 3.1).

### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to LEONARD SAINT CYR whose telephone number is (571) 272-4247. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon- Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Richemond Dorvil can be reached on (571) 272-7602. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571)-273-8300.

Art Unit: 2626

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or (571)-272-1000.

11/12/08 LS /Richemond Dorvil/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2626